

**THE LAMER COMMISSION OF INQUIRY
PERTAINING TO THE CASES OF:**

**RONALD DALTON
GREGORY PARSONS
RANDY DRUKEN**

REPORT AND ANNEXES

**The Right Honourable Antonio Lamer,
P.C., C.C., C.D., L.L.D., D.U.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1. Background and Terms of Reference:..... | 1 |
| 2. Nature of a Commission:..... | 7 |
| 3. Video:..... | 9 |
| 4. Chronology of Hearings and Standings:..... | 9 |
| 5. Role of Counsel:..... | 13 |
| 6. The Systemic Phase:..... | 14 |
| 7. This Report:..... | 14 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2: RONALD DALTON | 16 |
| 1. Introduction:..... | 16 |
| 2. Chronology of Events:..... | 16 |
| (a) Introduction:..... | 16 |
| (b) Initial Representation:..... | 16 |
| (c) Unrepresented Period:..... | 18 |
| (d) Secondary Representation:..... | 19 |
| (e) Tertiary Representation:..... | 25 |
| 3. Analysis:..... | 29 |
| (a) Defence Counsel:..... | 29 |
| (i) David Eaton:..... | 29 |
| (ii) David Day:..... | 33 |
| (iii) Sandra Burke:..... | 38 |
| (iv) Jerome Kennedy:..... | 40 |
| (b) The Legal Aid Commission:..... | 44 |
| (i) General:..... | 44 |
| (ii) Eaton Legal Aid:..... | 45 |
| (iii) Unrepresented Period:..... | 47 |
| (iv) Day Legal Aid:..... | 49 |
| (v) Kennedy Legal Aid:..... | 50 |
| (vi) Conclusion:..... | 51 |
| (c) The Director of Public Prosecutions (Crown):..... | 51 |
| (d) The Minister of Justice:..... | 53 |
| (e) The Law Society:..... | 56 |
| (f) The Court of Appeal:..... | 58 |
| (i) Madonna Morris:..... | 58 |
| (ii) The Court:..... | 59 |

| | | |
|---|---|-----------|
| | (iii) Historical Context: | 61 |
| | (iv) The Current Rule:..... | 62 |
| | (v) Transcripts:..... | 63 |
| 4. | Conclusion: | 66 |
| | (i) The System: | 66 |
| | (ii) Ronald Dalton:..... | 68 |
| CHAPTER 3: GREGORY PARSONS | | 70 |
| 1. | Introduction: | 70 |
| 2. | Chronology of Events:..... | 72 |
| | (a) Background:..... | 72 |
| | (b) The Murder Investigation:..... | 76 |
| | (c) Judicial and Related Proceedings: | 82 |
| | (i) Judicial Interim Release:..... | 82 |
| | (ii) Disclosure:..... | 82 |
| | (iii) Conflict of Interest:..... | 83 |
| | (iv) Preliminary Inquiry: | 84 |
| | (v) The Trial: | 85 |
| | (vi) The Court of Appeal:..... | 88 |
| | (d) Awaiting A New Trial:..... | 90 |
| | (i) Fulfilling Release Conditions: | 90 |
| | (ii) The Assault Charge:..... | 91 |
| | (iii) Drug Charges:..... | 92 |
| | (iv) Acquittal:..... | 94 |
| | (e) The Second Investigation:..... | 94 |
| | (f) Gregory Parsons:..... | 95 |
| | (i) Personal Consequences: | 95 |
| | (ii) Catherine Carroll:..... | 97 |
| | (iii) Compensation:..... | 98 |
| | (iv) Conclusion: | 99 |
| 3. | The Police Investigation: Analysis: | 101 |
| | (a) Background:..... | 101 |
| | (b) The Plan: | 102 |
| | (c) The Investigation:..... | 104 |
| | (i) General:..... | 104 |
| | (ii) The Murder Scene: | 105 |
| | (iii) The Basement Window: | 106 |
| | (iv) Interviewing Witnesses: | 108 |
| | (v) Consequences: | 109 |
| | (d) Tunnel Vision: | 110 |
| | (i) Hearsay Statements of the Victim: | 110 |
| | (ii) Interviewing Key Witnesses:..... | 119 |

| | | |
|----|--|-----|
| | (iii) Aggrandizing Worthless and Trivial Evidence: | 123 |
| | (iv) Contradictory Evidence Ignored: | 125 |
| | (e) The Police and Crown Theory: | 129 |
| | (f) Conclusion: | 131 |
| 4. | Judicial and Related Proceedings: Analysis: | 133 |
| | (a) Introduction: | 133 |
| | (b) Role of the Crown: | 134 |
| | (i) General:..... | 134 |
| | (ii) Advising the Police:..... | 137 |
| | (iii) Deciding to Prosecute:..... | 138 |
| | (iv) Potentially Unreliable Evidence:..... | 139 |
| | (c) Crown Counsel at Trial: | 141 |
| | (i) The Challenge Function: | 141 |
| | (ii) The Hearsay Statements:..... | 142 |
| | (iii) General Advocacy:..... | 145 |
| | (iv) Exclusivity:..... | 146 |
| | (v) Cross-Examination of Defence Expert: | 147 |
| | (vi) Post-Offence Conduct:..... | 148 |
| | (vii) Other Aspects of Crown Address:..... | 151 |
| | (viii) Summary: | 154 |
| | (d) Crown Pattern of Conduct:..... | 155 |
| | (e) Defence Counsel:..... | 156 |
| | (i) General:..... | 156 |
| | (ii) The Hearsay Statements:..... | 157 |
| | (iii) Messrs. X and Y:..... | 157 |
| | (iv) Footprint Tactic: | 158 |
| | (v) Failure of the Accused to Testify: | 159 |
| | (vi) The Basement Window: | 160 |
| | (vii) Summary: | 161 |
| | (viii) Trial Judge:..... | 162 |
| | (f) Juries: | 165 |
| | (i) Jury Secrecy:..... | 165 |
| | (ii) Directed Verdicts:..... | 166 |
| | (g) Conclusion: | 169 |
| 5. | Conclusion: | 171 |

CHAPTER 4: RANDY DRUKEN 174

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|-----|
| 1. | Introduction: | 174 |
| 2. | Chronology of Events: | 176 |
| | (a) Background: | 176 |
| | (b) The Police Investigation: | 179 |
| | (i) Background: | 179 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| (ii) | The First Stage of the Investigation: | 181 |
| | Cindy Young: | 181 |
| | Randy Druken: | 183 |
| | Forensic Investigation: | 184 |
| | Neighbours: | 185 |
| | Julie Evoy: | 185 |
| | The Dooleys: | 187 |
| | Phyllis Duke: | 191 |
| (iii) | Jump-Starting the Investigation: | 192 |
| | Modus Operandi: Wiretaps: Media: | |
| | Obstruct Justice Charges:..... | 192 |
| (iv) | Jailhouse Informant | 200 |
| (v) | Conclusion | 202 |
| (c) | Judicial and Related Proceeding: | 202 |
| (i) | Disclosure: | 202 |
| (ii) | Crown Preparation for Trial: | 203 |
| (iii) | Trial: | 205 |
| 3. | The Police Investigation: Analysis: | 207 |
| (a) | Manner of Investigation: | 207 |
| (i) | General:..... | 207 |
| (ii) | Interviewing Witnesses: | 208 |
| (iii) | Polygraph Information: | 213 |
| (iv) | Forensic Evidence: | 215 |
| (v) | Electronic Surveillance: | 217 |
| (b) | Reliability of Key Witnesses: | 220 |
| (i) | A Study of Evolution: | 220 |
| (ii) | Cindy Young: | 221 |
| | The Hogan Interview: | 222 |
| | Cindy Young's Apprehension: | 224 |
| | The Baggs Interview: | 226 |
| | The "Randy" Statement: | 232 |
| | Cindy Young's Reliability: | 234 |
| | Conclusion: | 235 |
| (iii) | Madeline Dooley: | 236 |
| (iv) | Phyllis Duke: | 242 |
| (v) | Conclusion: | 248 |
| (c) | Reasonable and Probable Grounds and the Police Theory..... | 249 |
| (i) | Focus on Randy Druken: | 249 |
| (ii) | Another Possibility: | 252 |
| (iii) | The Police Theory: | 255 |
| (d) | The Alibi and Attempting to Obstruct Justice: | 257 |
| (i) | Alibi Evidence Generally: | 257 |
| (ii) | Attempting to Obstruct Justice: | 258 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| (e) | The Jailhouse Informant: Mr. X: | 262 |
| (f) | Conclusion: | 264 |
| 4. | Judicial and Related Proceedings: Analysis: | 265 |
| (a) | Crown: Pre-Trial: | 265 |
| (i) | Attempt to Obstruct Justice: | 265 |
| (ii) | Disclosure: | 267 |
| (iii) | Crown Role in Trial Preparation: | 268 |
| | General: | 268 |
| | Mr. X: | 270 |
| | Cindy Young: | 271 |
| | Patrick Dooley Jr.: | 274 |
| | Judy Janes: | 276 |
| (iv) | Deciding to Prosecute: | 277 |
| (b) | Crown: Trial: | 279 |
| (i) | Introduction: | 279 |
| (ii) | Witnesses: | 280 |
| (iii) | Closing Address: | 291 |
| (c) | Defence Counsel: | 296 |
| (d) | Trial Judge: | 298 |
| (e) | Conclusion: | 302 |
| 5. | Post - Conviction: Stay of Proceedings: | 303 |
| (a) | Introduction: | 303 |
| (b) | Second Investigation: | 304 |
| (c) | Crown Opinions and Third Investigation: | 306 |
| (d) | Stay of Proceedings: | 317 |
| (e) | Post-script: | 324 |
| (f) | Conclusion: | 324 |
| CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS: | | 326 |
| | Chapter Two: Ronald Dalton: | 326 |
| | Chapter Three: Gregory Parsons: | 327 |
| | Chapter Four: Randy Druken: | 329 |
| ANNEXES | | 333 |
| | ANNEX 1 - <i>Public Inquiries Act</i> , RSNL 1990, c. P-38 | 333 |
| | ANNEX 2 - Order-in-Council 2005-507 extending time limit for Report to December 31, 2005 | 336 |
| | ANNEX 3 - Ruling on the Terms of Reference | 337 |
| | ANNEX 4 - Order-in-Council 2005-151 and Minister Marshall's | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| May 5, 2005 Press Release in relation to compensation | 346 |
| ANNEX 5 - Rules of Practice and Procedure | 348 |
| ANNEX 6 - Correspondence dated June 13, 2005 from The Honourable Clyde K. Wells, Chief Justice of Newfoundland and Labrador | 361 |
| ANNEX 7 - Information to the Commission from the Supreme Court and Provincial Court in relation to the preparation of transcripts | 379 |
| ANNEX 8 - Correspondence dated February 15, 1994 from Constable Karl Piercey re: Gregory Parsons, First Degree Murder | 382 |
| ANNEX 9 - Correspondence dated November 4, 2005 and Police-Crown Relationship Policy received from the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary | 388 |
| ANNEX 10 - Royal Newfoundland Constabulary Submission in relation to Polygraphs..... | 393 |
| ANNEX 11 - Interview of Cindy Young taken 12 June 1993 by Constable Tim Hogan and Constable Regina Baggs | 397 |
| ANNEX 12 - Judgment of Wells, J. regarding the reliability of Madeline Dooley's evidence | 404 |
| ANNEX 13 - Summary of Analysis of Phyllis Duke's statements | 434 |
| ANNEX 14 - Sophonow Report in relation to Jailhouse Informants | 467 |
| ANNEX 15 - Notes of the Crime Scene Reconstruction (Part 6) | 485 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Holding these three inquiries plus making, as stated in the Terms of Reference "such recommendations as he considers advisable relating to the current administration of criminal justice in the province of Newfoundland and Labrador" would have been beyond my humble capacities were it not for the exceptional dedication and complete devotion of a wonderful, intelligent and very hard working Staff. I would be remiss in my duties if I did not, at the outset, make known to the people of Newfoundland and Labrador the identity of these people and the important role they played in carrying me through this task:

- George White, Chief Executive Officer, was in charge of the financial and administrative management of the Commission Office.
- Ronotta Thomas, Archivist, was responsible for the research and implementation of the electronic filing database as well as supervising the collection, organization, conversion and maintenance of Commission files both physically and electronically.
- Colleen Power, Commission Secretary and Administrative Officer, was responsible for secretarial and administrative support to the Commission Staff and was responsible for the logging and recording of Commission hearings.
- Lynne Comeau, Assistant Archivist and Records Manager, assisted in the collection and organization of the Commission files originating from outside sources, assisted in the conversion of hard copy files to electronic form and was responsible for the maintenance of the file database and system.
- Madonna Maidment, Researcher, was responsible for research of issues arising in the Systemic Phase.
- Bernadine Burke, Clerk Typist III, assisted the Archivist in the management of documents.
- Tony Fitzgerald, Computer Support Specialist, was responsible for providing support and co-ordination of information technology within the Commission Office and was responsible for audiovisual technology in the Hearing Room.
- Juan Burton, Computer Support Specialist, was responsible for providing support and co-ordination of information technology within the Commission Office and was responsible for audio visual technology in the Hearing Room.
- Patricia Jackson, Media Relations Liason, was responsible for being the liason between the media and Commission staff.
- The High Sheriff's Office was responsible for the security at the Commission Office and at the Hearings.

As was attested to by many members of the Bar and reported to me by all three of my counsel, three staff members in particular: Colleen Power, Lynne Comeau and Ronotta Thomas, worked very long hours, often well into the night and many weekends and holidays assisting my legal counsel, Nick Avis, Q.C., (Senior Counsel Hearings) and Rosellen Sullivan (Junior Counsel Hearings), prepare for the hearings. This involved amongst other things, sifting through thousands of pages of documents, analysing them, and, under my direction, selecting those that we find in the dozens of binders prepared for me, the lawyers of those who had standing, and the members of the press. These three staff members, along with George White, assisted as well in the compilation of the Court of Appeal statistics, which was a time consuming arduous task. Colleen Power and Lynne Comeau also "listened to" and compiled notes on all of the interceptions in the Druken case, in addition to transcribing many of them. Needless to say, this was a daunting task.

I must mention the frequent and helpful cooperation of Mr. Don Burrage, of the Department of Justice, who saw to it that the Commission received all the support it required from the government. The High Sheriff and his staff saw that hearings that were expected to be difficult went smoothly.

I must also mention the Journalists. They fulfilled their duties in a most professional manner and always respected the agreements we had come to as regards their presence in the Hearing Room and the treatment of people with dignity while fulfilling their responsibility to the public. On the basis of my previous dealings with "the Press", they have matched the highest standards I have experienced.

Needless to say, I appreciated very much the assistance and advice of my legal counsel, Nick Avis, Q.C. (Senior Counsel Hearings) and Rosellen Sullivan (Junior Counsel Hearings), who provided great service in organizing the evidence and hearings so that they flowed smoothly and efficiently. They too worked very long hours, often well into the night and most weekends and holidays. Professor Ed Ratushny, Q.C. (Senior Counsel Advisory) provided wise counsel and guidance. He also assisted in the preparation of this Report. I selected him for his intellectual honesty and sense of fairness. He is an outstanding lawyer and a good friend.

I am grateful for the support of my Ottawa law firm, Stikeman Elliott, which recognized the importance of this Commission from the outset. Our Managing Partner, Stuart McCormack, regularly offered and provided any assistance I might require. David Brown was instrumental in making the arrangements for the video hearings. My Executive Assistant, Jackie Chartrand was industrious, efficient and enthusiastic in taking on any task, no matter how great or small.

I cannot close these acknowledgements without mentioning the attachment I reinforced with the people of Newfoundland and Labrador, and the staff of the

Fairmont Hotel who made my stays away from my wife somewhat less unpleasant. Indeed my wife, Danièle, and I were often separated from each other because of her travels throughout Canada as a Federal Court Judge. She graciously accepted the additional absences due to the many weeks I had to be away for sittings in St. John's.